Project Office 712 Swift Boulevard, Suite 5 Righland WA 99352



March 29, 1993

Eric D. Goller

U.S. Department of Energy

Richland, Washington 99352 the suffer of the same of the same

Re: EPA Comments on the 100 Area Excavation Treatability Test 26732 and the second s

and the state of t

Plan; Decisional Draft

Enclosed are the comments from the U.S. Environmental comments are supported by Protection Agency (EPA) on the 100 Area Excavation Treatability and any any contraction of the contraction o Test Plan; Decisional Draft.

For your convenience, a copy of these comments have been transmitted to you electronically via the HLAN.

And the control of the figure of the control of the Please contact me if you have any questions. I may be the same and the reached at (509) 376-8631.

Sincerely,

Dennis A. Faulk and his to the second search and Operable Unit Manager of the product of the property

والإرام والمرابع والمتاب يتناب

Enclosure

Audree DeAngeles, PRC CC: Becky Austin, WHC Jack Donnelly, Ecology Brian Drost, USGS Rich Hibbard, Ecology

Administrative Record (100 Aggregate Area Operable Unit)





CORRESPONDENCE COMPAGE

with the first time of the control o

EPA Comments on the 100 Area Excavation Treatability Test Plan

comment: page 1 paragraph 1

The Treatability Study Program Plan is an internal DOE document and this should be noted if this reference is going to used.

comment: page 1 bullets

The studies being conducted at INEL on excavation practices should be included in this document or if the information is not available at this time a reference should be made that INEL information will be included as appropriate.

comment: page 1 last paragraph

This paragraph discusses the purpose and scope of this test plan.

In addition to field and laboratory analysis for radionuclides

this test must also consider analysis for the other contaminates

of concern in the 100 area. (ie metals, VOA's, SemiVOA's, and

anions)

The second of th

 $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}$

comment:page 2 bullets

A paragraph should be added to this section to describe how the work done under this test will feed into later treatability tests.

comment: page 3 middle of page

This section discusses the site selected for the test. WHC and DOE selected the 116-F-4 crib for the site of the test. EPA does not agree with this location as it does not contain many of the contaminates of concern for the 100 areas. A site or sites must be selected that contain adequate inventories of the major contaminates of concern.

comment: page 7 2nd paragraph

This paragraph discusses a VE study conducted by Los Alamos on dust control in the 100 B/C area. This study was done with no regulator involvement. Therefore EPA requests that DOE transmit a copy of the report for our use.

comment: page 6 last paragraph

This section discusses dust control. The technologies presented in this section appear to be well proven and therefore unnecessary. Additional rational should be provided on why these technologies were chosen while excluding others.

. . . .

Today.

comment: page 8 3rd paragraph

No rational is given why the mobile lab is not being utilized for this test. EPA recommends that this test plan be revised to include the use of the mobile lab.

comment: page 28 1st paragraph

This paragraph discusses the depth of the excavation. A statement is made that if 2 lifts in a row are clean the excavation will be terminated. Records show that in some waste sites the contamination is found in lenses, therefore, by terminating after 2 lifts there is a possibility thatcontamination could be left in place.

comment: appendix A

This section should discuss the effects of changing climatic conditions on the various aspects of the test.

A the production of the second second

and the second of the second o

_____ A_2--Trans. A 122 CARE DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON OF THE

CORRESPONDENCE DISTRIBUTION COVERSHEET

Author

Addressee

Correspondence No.

Dennis A. Faulk, EPA

E. D. Goller, RL

Incoming: 9305543

subject: EPA Comments on the 100 Area Excavation Treatability Test Plan;

Decisional Draft

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

Approval	Date	Name	Location	w/att
		Correspondence Control	A3-01	X
		M. R. Adams	H6-01	
		B. A. Austin	B2-35	Х
		R. P. Henckel	H6-02	X
		G. W. Jackson	H6-21	
		L. G. Juguilon	H6-27	χ
		R. E. Lerch	B3-63	
		H. E. McGuire (Level 1)	B3-63	
		S. R. Moreno	B3-06	
		J. K. Patterson	H6-27	
		J. A. Rivera	B2-16	
		T. M. Wintczak	H6-27	
		R. D. Wojtasek (assignee)	H6-27	X
		EDMC	H6-08	X

